arXiv:1703.07021v2 [math.PR] 25 Apr 2017

Regularity of Schrödinger's functional equation and mean field PDEs for h-path processes

Toshio Mikami
Department of Mathematics, Tsuda University
2-1-1 Tsuda-machi, Kodaira, Tokyo 187-8577, Japan

April 26, 2017

Abstract

E. Schrödinger [23, 24] proposed the equation to find the statistical property of a quantum particle on a finite time interval. It is called "Schrödinger's functional equation". Given probability distributions of a particle at initial and terminal times, it determines the joint distribution of a quantum particle at initial and terminal times so that a particle is Markovian. S. Bernstein [2] generalized Schrödinger's idea and introduced the so-called Bernstein processes which are also called reciprocal processes or one-dimensional Markov random fields. The theory of stochastic differential equation for Schrödinger's functional equation was given by B. Jamison [11]. The solution is Doob's h-path process with given two end point marginals. We show that the solution of Schrödinger's functional equation is measurable in space, kernel and marginals. As an application, we show that the drift vector of the h-path process with given two end point marginals is a measurable function of space, time and marginal at each time. In particular, we show that the marginals satisfy a class of mean field PDE systems of which the coefficients are measurable function of space, time and marginal. We also show that Schrödinger's functional equation is the Euler equation of a stochastic optimal transportation problem.

1 Introduction

Suppose that there exist $N \geq 2$ particles in a set $A := \{a_1, \cdots, a_{n_0}\} \subset \mathbf{R}^3$ and each particle moves independently, with a given transition probability, to a different set $B := \{b_1, \cdots, b_{n_1}\} \subset \mathbf{R}^3$, where $n_0, n_1 \leq N$. E. Schrödinger tried to find the maximal probability of such events, provided the numbers of particles in each point a_i, b_j are fixed (see section 7 in [24] and also [23]). Though he did not succeed in finding the maximal probability, he obtained Euler's equation, for the variational problem above, which is called Schrödinger's functional equation. Schrödinger's problem is also related to the optimal mass transportation problem (see [16, 26]).

We describe Schrödinger's functional equation in a more general setting. Let S be a σ-compact metric space, let $C(S \times S)$ denote the space of all continuous functions on $S \times S$ with the topology induced by the uniform convergence on every compact subset of S and let $\mathcal{P}(S)$ denote the space of all Borel probability measures on S with the strong topology. Fix a positive function $q \in C(S \times S)$. Schrödinger's functional equation for $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ is the following:

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1(dx) = \nu_1(dx) \displaystyle\int_S q(x,y)\nu_2(dy), \\ \\ \mu_2(dy) = \nu_2(dy) \displaystyle\int_S q(x,y)\nu_1(dx) \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

(see [2, 3, 8, 10, 23, 24]). Here the solution $\nu_1(dx)\nu_2(dy)$ is a product measure of nonnegative σ-finite Borel measures ν_1, ν_2 on S.

It is known that (1.1) has the unique solution (see [10] and also [4]).

$$u_i(x_i) := \log\left(\int_S q(x_1, x_2)\nu_j(dx_j)\right), \quad i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j. \tag{1.2}$$

Then u_1 and u_2 are positive and

$$\mu_i(dx) = \exp(u_i(x))\nu_i(dx), \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{1.3}$$

(1.1) can be rewritten as follows: for $i, j = 1, 2$, $i \neq j$,

$$\exp(u_i(x_i)) = \int_S q(x_1, x_2)\exp(-u_j(x_j))\mu_j(dx_j), \quad \mu_i(dx_i) - a.s.. \tag{1.4}$$

In particular, the problem (1.1) is equivalent to finding functions u_1, u_2 for which (1.4) holds. Since $\nu_1(dx)\nu_2(dy)$ is the unique solution of (1.1), it is a functional of μ_1, μ_2 and q. Since it is a product measure, ν_1 and ν_2 are also functionals of μ_1, μ_2 and q (see the proof of Corollary 2.1 in section 3):

$$\nu_i(dx) = \nu_i(dx; q, \mu_1, \mu_2), \quad u_i(x) = u_i(x; q, \mu_1, \mu_2), \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{1.5}$$

This does not imply the uniqueness of ν_1 and ν_2. Indeed, for $C > 0$,

$$\nu_1\nu_2 = C\nu_1 \cdot C^{-1}\nu_2.$$

When S is compact, we assume that the following holds so that ν_i, u_i, $i = 1, 2$ are unique (see Lemma 3.1 in section 3):

$$\nu_1(S) = \nu_2(S). \tag{1.6}$$

In Theorem 2.1, we show that if S is compact, then the following are strongly continuous:

$$\nu_i(dx; \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : C(S \times S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \mapsto \mathcal{M}(S),$$

$$u_i : C(S \times S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \mapsto C(S),$$

and $u_i \in C(S \times C(S \times S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \times \mathcal{P}(S))$. In Corollary 2.1, we also show that if S is σ-compact, then the following are weakly Borel measurable and Borel measurable respectively:

$$\nu_i(dx; \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : C(S \times S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \mapsto \mathcal{M}(S),$$

$$u_i : S \times C(S \times S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \mapsto \mathbf{R}.$$

Here $\mathcal{M}(S)$ denotes the space of all Radon measures on S. In this paper we denote by a Radon measure a locally finite and inner regular Borel measure. It is known that a locally finite and σ-finite Borel measure on a σ-compact metric space is a Radon measure in our sense (see e.g., p. 901, Prop. 32.3.4 in [9]).

As an application of this measurability result, we show that the marginal distributions of the h-path process with given two end point marginals satisfy a mean field PDE systems of which the coefficients of differential operators are measurable functions of space, time and marginal. To describe the problem more precisely, we introduce Jamison's result on SDEs for the h-path process

with given two end point marginals. We first describe assumptions and then state Jamison's results.
(A1.1) $d \geq 1$ and $\sigma(t,x) = (\sigma^{ij}(t,x))_{i,j=1}^d$, $(t,x) \in [0,1]\times\mathbf{R}^d$, is a $d\times d$-matrix. $a(t,x) := \sigma(t,x)\sigma(t,x)^*$, $(t,x) \in [0,1]\times\mathbf{R}^d$, is uniformly positive definite, bounded, once continuously differentiable and uniformly Hölder continuous. $D_x a(t,x)$ is bounded and the first derivatives of $a(t,x)$ are uniformly Hölder continuous with respect to x.
(A1.2) $b(t,x) : [0,1]\times\mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R}^d$ is bounded, continuous and uniformly Hölder continuous with respect to x.

Theorem 1.1 ([11], p. 330) *Suppose that (A1.1) and (A1.2) hold. Then for any $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, the following SDE has the unique weak solution with a positive continuous transition probability density $p(t,x;s,y)$, $0 \leq t < s \leq 1$, $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^d$:*

$$\begin{aligned} dX(t) &= b(t,X(t))dt + \sigma(t,X(t))dW(t), \quad 0 < t < 1, \qquad (1.7)\\ PX(0)^{-1} &= P_0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $W(t)$ denotes a d-dimensional $\sigma[X(s); 0 \leq s \leq t]$-Brownian motion. Besides, for any $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, and the solution ν_2 of (1.1) with S and $q(x,y)$ replaced by $\mathbf{R}^d$ and $p(0,x;1,y)$ respectively,

$$h(t,x) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} p(t,x;1,y)\nu_2(dy) \in C^{1,2}([0,1)\times\mathbf{R}^d), \qquad (1.8)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_t h(t,x) = 0, \quad (t,x) \in [0,1)\times\mathbf{R}^d. \qquad (1.9)$$

Here

$$\mathcal{A}_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}Trace(a(t,x)D_x^2) + \langle b(t,x), D_x\rangle.$$

Theorem 1.2 (Markovian reciprocal process) ([11], Theorem 2) *Suppose that (A1.1) and (A1.2) hold. Then for any $P_0, P_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for which $P_1(dy) << dy$, there exists the unique weak solution to the following SDE:*

$$\begin{aligned} dX(t) &= \{a(t,X(t))D_x \log h(t,X(t)) + b(t,X(t))\}dt \qquad (1.10)\\ &\quad +\sigma(t,X(t))dW(t), \quad 0 < t < 1,\\ PX(t)^{-1} &= P_t, \quad t = 0,1. \end{aligned}$$

Here, to define $h(t,x)$, we consider (1.1) with $\mu_1, \mu_2, q(x,y)$ and S respectively replaced by $P_0, P_1, p(0,x;1,y)$ and $\mathbf{R}^d$. $W(t)$ also denotes a d-dimensional $\sigma[X(s); 0 \le s \le t]$-Brownian motion. Besides,

$$PX(t)^{-1}(dx) = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \nu_1(dz) p(0,z;t,x) \right) dx \times h(t,x), \quad 0 \le t \le 1, \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \nu_1(dz) p(0,z;0,x) dx := \nu_1(dx),$$

$$h(1,x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \nu_2(dy) p(1,x;1,y) := \frac{\nu_2(dx)}{dx}.$$

Remark 1.1 *Fix $t \in [0,1)$. Replace, in (1.1), $\mu_1(dx)$, $\mu_2(dy)$, $q(x,y)$ and S by $PX(t)^{-1}(dx)$, $P_1(dy)$, $p(t,x;1,y)$ and $\mathbf{R}^d$ respectively. Then the solution, to (1.1), which is a σ-finite product measure is*

$$h(t,x)^{-1} PX(t)^{-1}(dx)\nu_2(dy) = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \nu_1(dz) p(0,z;t,x) \right) dx \nu_2(dy)$$

(see (1.11)). In particular, ν_2 does not depend on t:

$$\nu_2(dy) = \nu_2(dy; p(t,\cdot;1,\cdot), PX(t)^{-1}, P_1), \quad 0 \le t < 1. \quad (1.12)$$

As an application of Corollary 2.1 in section 2, we show that there exists a Borel measurable function

$$u : [0,1) \times \mathbf{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d) \mapsto \mathbf{R}$$

such that

$$u(t,x,PX(t)^{-1}) = \log h(t,x), \quad (t,x) \in [0,1) \times \mathbf{R}^d \quad (1.13)$$

(see Corollary 2.2). (1.13) implies that if $P_1(dy) << dy$, then $p(t,x)dx := PX(t)^{-1}(dx)$ satisfies the following mean field PDE systems in a weak sense (see [1, 12] and the references therein for the mean field games): for $(t,x) \in$

$(0,1)\times \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial p(t,x)}{\partial t} &= \mathcal{A}_t^* p(t,x) - div_x(a(t,x)D_x u(t,x,p(t,x)dx)p(t,x)), \quad (1.14)\\
0 &= \frac{\partial u(t,x,p(t,x)dx)}{\partial t} + \mathcal{A}_t u(t,x,p(t,x)dx)\\
&\qquad +\frac{1}{2}\langle a(t,x)D_x u(t,x,p(t,x)dx), D_x u(t,x,p(t,x)dx)\rangle,\\
\lim_{t\downarrow 0} p(t,x)dx &= P_0(dx), \quad \text{weakly},\\
u(1,x) &= \log\left(\frac{\nu_2(dx;p(0,\cdot;1,\cdot),P_0,P_1)}{dx}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathcal{A}_t^*$ denotes the adjoint operator of $\mathcal{A}_t$.

Let $\gamma(t;\omega)$ denote a progressively measurable $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued stochastic process on some filtered probability space and consider the following SDE in a weak sense:

$$dX^\gamma(t) = \{\gamma(t;\omega) + b(t,X^\gamma(t))\}dt + \sigma(t,X^\gamma(t))dW(t), \tag{1.15}$$

provided it exists (see e.g. [6]). Here $W(t)$ denotes a d-dimensional Brownian motion defined on the same filtered probability space as $\gamma(t;\omega)$.

It is also known that the h-path process with given two end point marginals is the unique minimizer of the following stochastic optimal control problem (see [7], [13]-[22], [25] and the references therein for recent progress, especially for stochastic optimal transport).

Theorem 1.3 ([5], [27]) *Suppose that (A1.1) and (A1.2) hold. Then for any $P_0, P_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for which $P_1(dy) << dy$, $\gamma(t;\omega) = a(t,X^\gamma(t))D_x \log h(t,X^\gamma(t))$ is the unique minimizer of the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& V(P_0,P_1) \qquad (1.16)\\
:= \;& \inf\left\{ E\left[\int_0^1 |\sigma(t,X^\gamma(t))^{-1}\gamma(t)|^2 dt\right] \middle| PX^\gamma(t)^{-1} = P_t, t=0,1 \right\}\\
= \;& \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \log h(1,x)P_1(dx) - \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \log h(0,x)P_0(dx),
\end{aligned}$$

provided it is finite (see (1.8) and (1.11) for notation).

Remark 1.2 *A sufficient condition for the finiteness of $V(P_0,P_1)$ is given in* [20] *for more general problems.*

Schrödinger's functional equation (1.1) with $q(x,y)$ and S respectively replaced by $p(0,x;1,y)$ and $\mathbf{R}^d$ is equivalent to the Euler equation for $V(P_0,P_1)$. We state and prove it for readers' convenience since we could not find any literature (see Proposition 2.1).

In section 2 we state our main results and prove them in section 3.

2 Main results

In this section we state our main results. We first describe assumptions.
(A2.1) S is a compact metric space.
(A2.2) $q \in C(S \times S; (0,\infty))$.
(A2.1)' S is a σ-compact metric space.

For a metric space X and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$,

$$||\mu|| := \sup\left\{ \int_X \phi(x)\mu(dx) \middle| \phi \in C(X), ||\phi||_\infty \le 1 \right\} \in [0,\infty], \tag{2.1}$$

where for $f \in C(X)$,

$$||f||_\infty := \sup_{x\in X} |f(x)|. \tag{2.2}$$

When S is compact, we have the continuity results on ν_i, u_i in (1.5) (Recall (1.6)).

Theorem 2.1 *Suppose that (A2.1) and (A2.2) hold. Suppose also that $\mu_{i,n}$, $\mu_i \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, $q_n \in C(S\times S;(0,\infty))$, $i=1,2$, $n \ge 1$ and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (||\mu_{1,n}\mu_{2,n} - \mu_1\mu_2|| + ||q_n - q||_\infty) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} ||\nu_1(\cdot;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})\nu_2(\cdot;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n}) & \qquad (2.4)\\ -\nu_1(\cdot;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)\nu_2(\cdot;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)|| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^2 ||u_i(\cdot;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n}) - u_i(\cdot;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)||_\infty &= 0. \qquad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

Besides, for $i=1,2$, and $\{x_n\}_{n\ge1} \subset S$ which converges, as $n\to\infty$, to $x \in S$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} u_i(x_n;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n}) = u_i(x;q,\mu_1,\mu_2). \tag{2.6}$$

When S is σ-compact, we only have the Borel measurability results on ν_i, u_i in (1.5).

Corollary 2.1 *Suppose that (A2.1)' and (A2.2) hold. Then the following are Borel measurable: for $i = 1, 2$,*

$$\int_S f(x)\nu_i(dx; \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : C(S \times S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \mapsto \mathbf{R}, \quad f \in C_0(S),$$

$$u_i : S \times C(S \times S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \times \mathcal{P}(S) \mapsto \mathbf{R}.$$

As an application of Corollary 2.1, we prove that the mean field PDE systems (1.14) holds.

Corollary 2.2 *Suppose that (A1.1) and (A1.2) hold. Then (1.14) holds in a weak sense.*

For $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and Borel measurable $f : \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R}$,

$$V^*_{P_0}(f) := \sup\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x)P(dx) - V(P_0, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d) \right\} \tag{2.7}$$

(see (1.16) for notation). Then since $P \mapsto V(P_0, P)$ is convex, lower semi-continuous and $\not\equiv \infty$, for $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$,

$$V(P_0, P) = \sup\left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x)P(dx) - V^*_{P_0}(f) : f \in C_b(\mathbf{R}^d) \right\} \in [0, \infty] \tag{2.8}$$

(see [18, 19, 21, 25] and the references therein). The following gives the variational meaning to Schrödinger's functional equation.

Proposition 2.1 *Suppose that (A1.1) and (A1.2) hold. Then for any $P_0, P_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for which $P_1(dy) << dy$ and for which $V(P_0, P_1)$ is finite, Schrödinger's functional equation (1.1) with μ_1, μ_2 and $q(x, y)$ replaced by P_0, P_1 and $p(0, x; 1, y)$ is equivalent to the following:*

$$P_1(dy) = \frac{\delta V^*_{P_0}(\log h(1, \cdot))}{\delta f}(dy). \tag{2.9}$$

Here $\dfrac{\delta V^*_{P_0}(f)}{\delta f}$ denotes the Gâteaux derivative of $V^*_{P_0}(f)$.

3 Proof of main results

In this section we state and prove lemmas and prove our main results.

$$\begin{aligned} m_q &:= \inf\{q(x,y)|x,y \in S\}, \\ M_q &:= \sup\{q(x,y)|x,y \in S\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The following two lemmas are proved in [3].

Lemma 3.1 ([3], p. 194) *Suppose that (A2.1) and (A2.2) hold. Then, for any $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, there exists a unique pair of nonnegative finite measures ν_1, ν_2 on S for which (1.1) and the following holds:*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{M_q}} \leq \nu_1(S) = \nu_2(S) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_q}}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\frac{m_q}{\sqrt{M_q}} \leq \exp(u_i(x)) \leq \frac{M_q}{\sqrt{m_q}}, \quad x \in S, i = 1, 2 \tag{3.3}$$

(see (1.2) for notation).

Lemma 3.2 ([3], section 7) *Suppose that (A2.1) and (A2.2) hold. Then, there exists a function $c(a,b)$ which is nonincreasing in a and nondecreasing in b such that for any $\mu_i, \tilde{\mu}_i \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, $i = 1, 2$,*

$$||\nu_1\nu_2 - \tilde{\nu}_1\tilde{\nu}_2|| \leq c(m_q, M_q)||\mu_1\mu_2 - \tilde{\mu}_1\tilde{\mu}_2||^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Here $\tilde{\nu}_i(dx) := \nu_i(dx; q, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2)$, $i = 1, 2$ (see (1.5) and (2.1) for notation).

The following lemma can be proved by Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.3 *Suppose that (A2.1) and (A2.2) hold and that $q_n \in C(S \times S; (0, \infty))$, $n \geq 1$ and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||q_n - q||_\infty = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

(see (2.2) for notation). Then, for any $\mu_i \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, $i = 1, 2$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\nu_{n,1}\nu_{n,2} - \nu_1\nu_2|| = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\nu_{n,i}(dx) := \nu_i(dx; q_n, \mu_1, \mu_2)$ (see (1.5) and (2.1) for notation).

(Proof) $u_{n,i}(x) := u_i(x; q_n, \mu_1, \mu_2)$. Then, from (1.2)-(1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n,i}(x_i) &= \log\left(\int_S q_n(x_1, x_2)\nu_{n,j}(dx_j)\right), \quad i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j, \qquad (3.7) \\ \nu_{n,i}(dx) &= \exp(-u_{n,i}(x))\mu_i(dx), \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, 2$, $\left\{\dfrac{\nu_{n,i}(dx)}{\nu_{n,i}(S)}\right\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a tight family of probability measures and $\{\nu_{n,i}(S)\}_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded from above and below by (3.2). In particular, there exist $\{s(n)\}_{n\geq 1}$ and a finite measure $\overline{\nu}_i$ such that $\nu_{s(n),i}$ weakly converges, as $n \to \infty$, to $\overline{\nu}_i$. From construction, (3.2) with ν_i replaced by $\overline{\nu}_i$ also holds.

$$\overline{u}_i(x_i) := \log\left(\int_S q(x_1, x_2)\overline{\nu}_j(dx_j)\right), \quad i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j. \qquad (3.8)$$

Then for $i = 1, 2$,

$$\overline{\nu}_i(dx) = \exp(-\overline{u}_i(x))\mu_i(dx). \qquad (3.9)$$

Indeed, from (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu_{s(n),i}(dx) - \exp(-\overline{u}_i(x))\mu_i(dx) \\ = \; & (\exp(-u_{s(n),i}(x)) - \exp(-\overline{u}_i(x)))\mu_i(dx). \end{aligned}$$

For $i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$ and $x_i \in S$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\exp(u_{s(n),i}(x_i)) - \exp(\overline{u}_i(x_i))| \\ \leq \; & \left|\int_S (q_{s(n)}(x_1, x_2) - q(x_1, x_2))\nu_{s(n),j}(dx_j)\right| \\ & \quad + \left|\int_S q(x_1, x_2)(\nu_{s(n),j}(dx_j) - \overline{\nu}_j(dx_j))\right| \\ \leq \; & ||q_{s(n)} - q||_\infty \times \nu_{s(n),j}(S) \\ & \quad + \left|\int_S q(x_1, x_2)(\nu_{s(n),j}(dx_j) - \overline{\nu}_j(dx_j))\right| \to 0, \quad n \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$

from (3.2) and (3.5). From (3.3),

$$\exp(-u_{s(n),i}(x_i)) \leq \frac{\sqrt{M_{q_{s(n)}}}}{m_{q_{s(n)}}} \to \frac{\sqrt{M_q}}{m_q}, \quad n \to \infty, i = 1, 2.$$

In particular, the bounded convergence theorem implies that (3.9) is true.

From (3.8)-(3.9),

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_i(dx_i) &= \exp(-\overline{u}_i(x_i))\mu_i(dx_i)\exp(\overline{u}_i(x_i)) \\ &= \overline{\nu}_i(dx_i)\int_S q(x_1,x_2)\overline{\nu}_j(dx_j), \quad i,j=1,2, i\neq j.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

The uniqueness of the solution to (1.1) implies that

$$\overline{\nu}_i(dx) = \nu_i(dx), \quad i=1,2 \tag{3.11}$$

since (3.2) hold for both of $\overline{\nu}_i$ and ν_i. Since the above method applies for any subsequence of $\{q_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, the discussion in (3.9) implies that the following holds:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}||\nu_{n,i}-\nu_i|| = 0, \quad i=1,2. \tag{3.12}$$

(3.2) and (3.12) completes the proof.□

We prove Theorem 2.1 by Lemmas 3.1-3.3.

(Proof of Theorem 2.1)

Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 imply (2.4). We prove (2.5). Without loss of generality, we only have to consider the case when $i=1$. For sufficiently large $n\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&||u_1(\cdot;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})-u_1(\cdot;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)||_\infty \\ \leq\ & -\log\Bigg\{1-\Bigg(\frac{||q_n-q||_\infty}{\sqrt{m_{q_n}}} \\ &\qquad +||q||_\infty\cdot||\nu_2(\cdot;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})-\nu_2(\cdot;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)||\Bigg)\frac{\sqrt{M_q}}{m_q}\Bigg\} \\ \to\ & 0, \quad n\to\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

We prove (3.13). For $x\in S$,

$$\begin{aligned}&u_1(x;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})-u_1(x;q,\mu_1,\mu_2) \\ =\ & \log\left(1+\frac{\int_S q_n(x,y)\nu_2(dy;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})-\int_S q(x,y)\nu_2(dy;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)}{\int_S q(x,y)\nu_2(dy;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\int_S q_n(x,y)\nu_2(dy;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n}) - \int_S q(x,y)\nu_2(dy;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)}{\int_S q(x,y)\nu_2(dy;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)}\right| \\
\leq\ & \frac{1}{\int_S q(x,y)\nu_2(dy;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)}\left\{\left|\int_S (q_n(x,y)-q(x,y))\nu_2(dy;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})\right|\right. \\
&\qquad \left.+\left|\int_S q(x,y)(\nu_2(dy;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})-\nu_2(dy;q,\mu_1,\mu_2))\right|\right\} \\
\leq\ & \frac{\sqrt{M_q}}{m_q}\left(\frac{||q_n-q||_\infty}{\sqrt{m_{q_n}}} + ||q||_\infty \cdot ||\nu_2(\cdot;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})-\nu_2(\cdot;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)||\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from(3.2)-(3.3). The following also holds:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\nu_2(\cdot;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})-\nu_2(\cdot;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)|| = 0.$$

Indeed, for $f \in C_b(S)$ for which $||f||_\infty \leq 1$, from (2.4) and (3.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_S f(x)(\nu_2(dx;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})-\nu_2(dx;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)) \\
=\ & \frac{1}{\nu_1(S;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})}\int_S f(x)\{(\nu_1(S;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})\nu_2(dx;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n}) \\
&\qquad -\nu_1(S;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)\nu_2(dx;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)) \\
&\qquad +(\nu_1(S;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)-\nu_1(S;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n}))\,\nu_2(dx;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)\} \\
\leq\ & \sqrt{M_{q_n}}\left\{||\nu_1(\cdot;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})\nu_2(\cdot;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})\right. \\
&\qquad -\nu_1(\cdot;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)\nu_2(\cdot;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)|| \\
&\qquad +\left|\sqrt{\nu_1(S;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})\nu_2(S;q_n,\mu_{1,n},\mu_{2,n})}\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\left.-\sqrt{\nu_1(S;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)\nu_2(S;q,\mu_1,\mu_2)}\right|\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_q}}\right\} \\
\to\ & 0, \quad n\to\infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

The following completes the proof of (3.13):

$$\log(1-|a|) \leq \log(1+a) \leq \log(1+|a|) \leq -\log(1-|a|), \quad |a|<1.$$

We prove (2.6). From (2.5), we only have to prove the following: for $i=1,2$, and $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset S$ which converges to $x \in S$ as $n\to\infty$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} u_i(x_n;q,\mu_1,\mu_2) = u_i(x;q,\mu_1,\mu_2). \tag{3.14}$$

This can be proved by the bounded convergence theorem.□

When S is σ-compact, let $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of compact subsets of S such that

$$S = \cup_{n\geq 1} A_n, \quad A_k \subset A_{k+1}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

For $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, take a sufficiently large $n_0 = n_0(\mu_1, \mu_2) \geq 1$ so that

$$\mu_i(A_n) > 0, \quad n \geq n_0, i = 1, 2.$$

$$\mu_{i|n}(E) := \frac{\mu_i(E \cap A_n)}{\mu_i(A_n)}, \quad E \in \mathcal{B}(S), \quad n \geq n_0, i = 1, 2, \tag{3.15}$$

where $\mathcal{B}(S)$ denotes the Borel σ-field of S. When we replace X and S by A_n in (2.1)-(2.2) and (3.1), we use notations $||\cdot||_n$, $||\cdot||_{\infty,n}$, $m_{q,n}$ and $M_{q,n}$ instead of $||\cdot||$, $||\cdot||_\infty$, m_q and M_q respectively. We use a similar convention when it is not confusing.

We introduce and prove two lemmas to prove Corollary 2.1.

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose that (A2.1)' and (A2.2) hold. Then, for any $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ and any $k \geq n_0(\mu_1, \mu_2)$, there exists a unique pair of nonnegative finite measures $\nu_{1|k}$, $\nu_{2|k}$ on A_k for which Lemma 3.1 with S, m_q, M_q, μ_i, ν_i, u_i, $i = 1, 2$ replaced by A_k, $m_{q,k}$, $M_{q,k}$, $\mu_{i|k}$, $\nu_{i|k}$, $u_{i|k}$, $i = 1, 2$ respectively holds. Suppose, in addition, that $\mu_{i,n} \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, $q_n \in C(S \times S; (0, \infty))$, $i = 1, 2$, $n \geq 1$ and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (||\mu_{1,n}\mu_{2,n} - \mu_1\mu_2||_k + ||q_n - q||_{\infty,k}) = 0.$$

Then (2.4)-(2.6) hold even if ν_i, $\mu_{i,n}$, μ_i, $||\cdot||$, u_i, $||\cdot||_\infty$ and S is replaced by $\nu_{i|k}$, $\mu_{i,n|k}$, $\mu_{i|k}$, $||\cdot||_k$, $u_{i|k}$, $||\cdot||_{\infty,k}$ and A_k respectively.

(Proof) Theorem 2.1 and the following completes the proof:

$$||\mu_{1,n|k}\mu_{2,n|k} - \mu_{1|k}\mu_{2|k}||_k \leq 2\frac{||\mu_{1,n}\mu_{2,n} - \mu_1\mu_2||_k}{\mu_1(A_k)\mu_2(A_k)}. \tag{3.16}$$

(3.16) is true, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{1,n|k}(dx)\mu_{2,n|k}(dy) - \mu_{1|k}(dx)\mu_{2|k}(dy) \\ = \; & \frac{1}{\mu_1(A_k)\mu_2(A_k)}\{(\mu_{1,n}(dx)\mu_{2,n}(dy) - \mu_1(dx)\mu_2(dy)) \\ & + \frac{\mu_1(A_k)\mu_2(A_k) - \mu_{1,n}(A_k)\mu_{2,n}(A_k)}{\mu_{1,n}(A_k)\mu_{2,n}(A_k)}\mu_{1,n}(dx)\mu_{2,n}(dy)\}.\square \end{aligned}$$

For any $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ and any $n \geq n_0(\mu_1, \mu_2)$,

$$\mu^{(n)}(dxdy) := q(x,y)1_{A_n \times A_n}(x,y)\nu_{1|n}(dx)\nu_{2|n}(dy). \tag{3.17}$$

The following is known.

Lemma 3.5 ([10], Theorem 3.2) *Suppose that (A2.1)' and (A2.2) hold. Then for any $\mu_i \in \mathcal{P}(S)$, $i = 1, 2$, there exists a unique solution $\nu_1\nu_2$ to (1.1) and $\mu^{(n)}(dxdy)$ weakly converges, as $n \to \infty$, to $\mu(dxdy) := q(x,y)\nu_1(dx)\nu_2(dy)$.*

By Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, we prove Corollary 2.1.
(Proof of Corollary 2.1) Without loss of generality, we only have to prove the case when $i = 1$. From Lemma 3.4, $\mu^{(n)}(dxdy)$ is a continuous functional of q, μ_1, μ_2. From Lemma 3.5, for any $f \in C_o(S)$, $\int_S f(y)\nu_1(dy)$ is a measurable functional of q, μ_1, μ_2. Indeed, for any $f_2 \in C_o(S)$ and μ given in Lemma 3.5, the following is a measurable functional of q, μ_1, μ_2:

$$\int_{S\times S} f(x)f_2(y)q(x,y)^{-1}\mu(dxdy) = \int_S f(x)\nu_1(dx)\int_S f_2(y)\nu_2(dy).$$

Take $f_2 \in C_o(S)$ such that $\int_S f_2(y)\nu_2(dy) = 1$.

For any $x \in S$ and $\phi_n \in C_o(S)$ for which $||\phi_n||_\infty \leq 1$, $\phi_n(y) = 1, y \in A_n$,

$$\int_S \phi_n(y)q(x,y)\nu_2(dy)$$

is a measurable functional of (q, μ_1, μ_2) from above and is continuous in x. In particular, it is measurable in (x, q, μ_1, μ_2) and so is the following:

$$\exp(u_1(x)) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_S \phi_n(y)q(x,y)\nu_2(dy)$$

by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem.□

Corollary 2.1 immediately implies Corollary 2.2.
(Proof of Corollary 2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} u(t,x,P) &:= \log\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} p(t,x;1,y)\nu_2(dy; p(t,\cdot;1,\cdot),P,P_1)\right) \\ &= u_1(x; p(t,\cdot;1,\cdot),P,P_1), \quad (t,x,P) \in [0,1)\times\mathbf{R}^d\times\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{R}^d). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Here we omit P_1 on the left hand side since it is given. It is easy to see that the following holds (see (1.12)):

$$u(t,x,PX(t)^{-1}) = \log h(t,x), \quad 0 \le t < 1. \tag{3.19}$$

Since $p(t,\cdot;1,\cdot)$ is continuous on $[0,1)$ from Theorem 1.1,

$$(t,x,P,P_1) \mapsto (x, p(t,\cdot;1,\cdot), P, P_1)$$

is continuous, which completes the proof.□

We prove Proposition 2.1.

(Proof of Proposition 2.1)

$$\frac{\delta V^*_{P_0}(\log h(1,\cdot))}{\delta f}(dy) = h(1,y)dy \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_0(dx) \frac{p(0,x;1,y)}{h(0,x)}. \tag{3.20}$$

Indeed, for any $\psi \in C_b(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$, instead of P_1, consider the following terminal distribution:

$$h(1,y)\exp(\varepsilon\psi(y))dy \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} P_0(dx) \frac{p(0,x;1,y)}{\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} p(0,x;1,z)h(1,z)\exp(\varepsilon\psi(z))dz}$$

(see (1.2)-(1.4)). Then, from Theorem 1.3 and (2.8) (see e.g. [5, 27] and also [21]),

$$\begin{aligned} & V^*_{P_0}(\log h(1,\cdot) + \varepsilon\psi) \\ = & \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \log\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} p(0,x;1,y)h(1,y)\exp(\varepsilon\psi(y))dy\right) P_0(dx) \end{aligned}$$

(see (1.8)). This implies (3.20). From (1.8),

$$P_0(dx) = P_0(dx)\frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} p(0,x;1,y)h(1,y)dy}{h(0,x)}. \tag{3.21}$$

(3.20) and (3.21) completes the proof.□

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 26400136 and 16H03948, JSPS.

References

[1] Bensoussan, A., Frehse, J., Yam, P.: *Mean Field Games and Mean Field Type Control Theory*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer, New York (2013)

[2] Bernstein, S.: Sur les liaisons entre les grandeurs alétoires. Verh. des intern. Mathematikerkongr. Zurich 1932, Band **1**.

[3] Beurling, A.: An Automorphism of Product Measures. Ann. of Math. **72**, 189-200 (1960)

[4] Chen, Y., Georgiou, T., Pavon, M.: Entropic and displacement interpolation: a computational approach using the Hilbert metric. SIAM J. Appl. Math. **76**, no. 6, 2375-2396 (2016)

[5] Dai Pra, P. : A stochastic control approach to reciprocal diffusion processes. Appl. Math. Optim. **23**, 313-329 (1991)

[6] Fleming, W. H., Soner, H. M.: *Controlled Markov Processes and Viscosity Solutions*, 2nd ed. Springer, New York (2006)

[7] Föllmer, H.: Random fields and diffusion processes. —n: Hennequin, P. L.(ed) École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XV–XVII, 1985–87, Lecture Notes in Math., **1362**, pp. 101–203. Springer, Berlin, (1988)

[8] Fortet, R.: Résolution d'un Système d'équations de M. Schroedinger. J. Math. Pures Appl. **IX**, 83-105 (1940)

[9] Garling, D. J. H.: *A Course in Mathematical Analysis: Volume 3, Complex Analysis, Measure and Integration*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014)

[10] Jamison, B.: Reciprocal Processes. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **30**, 65–86 (1974)

[11] Jamison, B.: The Markov process of Schrödinger. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **32**, 323–331 (1975)

[12] Lasry, J-M., Lions, P. L.: Mean field games. Jpn. J. Math. **2**, 229-260 (2007)

[13] Léonard, C. : From the Schrödinger problem to the Monge-Kantorovich problem. J. Funct. Anal. **262**, 1879–1920 (2012)

[14] Léonard, C. : A survey of the Schrödinger problem and some of its connections with optimal transport. Special Issue on Optimal Transport and Applications. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **34**, 1533–1574 (2014)

[15] Mikami, T.: Variational processes from the weak forward equation. Commun. Math. Phys. **135**, 19–40 (1990)

[16] Mikami, T.: Monge's problem with a quadratic cost by the zero-noise limit of h-path processes. Probab. Theory Related Fields **129**, 245–260 (2004)

[17] Mikami, T.: Semimartingales from the Fokker-Planck equation. Appl. Math. Optim. **53**, 209–219 (2006)

[18] Mikami, T.: Marginal problem for semimartingales via duality. In: Giga, Y. , Ishii, K., Koike, S. et al. (eds) International Conference for the 25th Anniversary of Viscosity Solutions, Gakuto International Series. Mathematical Sciences and Applications **30**, pp. 133–152. Gakkotosho, Tokyo (2008)

[19] Mikami, T.: Optimal transportation problem as stochastic mechanics. In Selected Papers on Probability and Statistics, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **227**, pp. 75–94. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. (2009)

[20] Mikami, T.: Two end points marginal problem by stochastic optimal transportation. SIAM J. Control Optim. **53**(4), 2449-246 (2015)

[21] Mikami, T., Thieullen, M.: Duality theorem for the stochastic optimal control problem. Stochastic Process. Appl. **116**, 1815–1835 (2006)

[22] Rüschendorf, L., Thomsen, W.: Note on the Schrödinger equation and I-projections. Statist. Probab. Lett. **17**, 369–375 (1993)

[23] Schrödinger, E.: Ueber die Umkehrung der Naturgesetze. Sitz. Ber. der Preuss. Akad. Wissen., Berlin, Phys. Math., p. 144 (1931).

[24] Schrödinger, E.: Théorie relativiste de l'electron et l'interprétation de la mécanique quantique. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré **2**, 269-310 (1932)

[25] Tan, X., Touzi, N.: Optimal transportation under controlled stochastic dynamics. Ann. Probab. **41**, 3201–3240 (2013)

[26] Villani, C.: *Optimal Transport: Old and New*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[27] Zambrini, J. C.: Variational processes. In: Albeverio, S., etal. (eds.) Stochastic processes in classical and quantum systems (Ascona, 1985), Lecture Notes in Phys. **262**, pp. 517–529. Springer, Berlin (1986)